

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,303	01/02/2004	Kay Y. Blohowiak	BOEI-1-1248	8803
25315	7590 02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC			FEELY, MICHAEL J	
701 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 4800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, W	/A 98104		1712	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/751,303	BLOHOWIAK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael J. Feely	1712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ja	anuary 2004.		:. •
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	•
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[🔀	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable	epted or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.	į
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	· •	÷
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	ation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bureau	` ','		
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.	
Attachmen	• •	🗖		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Orier:		

Application/Control Number: 10/751,303

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-46, drawn to a continuous surface preparation process for a metal material, classified in class 427, subclass 327.
 - II. Claim 47, drawn to a grit blasted metal material, classified in class 428, subclass687.
 - III. Claim 48, drawn to a sol-gel coated metal material, classified in class 428, subclass 639.
 - IV. Claim 49, drawn to a metal material sequentially coated with a sol-gel layer and an adhesive layer, classified in class 428, subclass 354.
 - V. Claims 50-52, drawn to a grit blasted metal material sequentially coated with a sol-gel layer and an adhesive layer, classified in class 428, subclass 354.
 - VI. Claims 53-60, drawn to an apparatus for continuously preparing the surface of a metal material, classified in class 118, subclass 72.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions (I) and (II) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2) the

Application/Control Number: 10/751,303

Art Unit: 1712

product a claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one that further includes a pre-cleaning step or one that is not continuous.

- 3. Inventions (I) and (III) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2) the product a claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one that further includes a pre-cleaning step or one that is not continuous.
- 4. Inventions (I) and (IV) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2) the product a claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one that further includes a pre-cleaning step or one that is not continuous.
- 5. Inventions (I) and (V) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2) the product a claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one that further includes a pre-cleaning step or one that is not continuous.
- 6. Inventions (I) and (VI) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced

Application/Control Number: 10/751,303 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as (a) one featuring a single stage water rinse chamber for continuously removing grit from the metal material; (b) one not featuring an oven, allowing the water portion of the sol-gel coating to evaporate at ambient conditions; and (c) one not featuring an over, allowing the solvent portion of the adhesive to evaporate at ambient conditions.

- 7. Inventions (II) and (III) are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, (II) is a grit-blasted metal material, and (III) is a sol-gel coated metal material.
- 8. Inventions (II) and (IV) are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, (II) is a grit-blasted metal material, and (IV) metal material coated with a sol-gel and an adhesive.
- 9. Inventions (II) and (V) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct

(MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as starting material for a two-layer composite, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 10. Inventions (VI) and (II) are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case (2) the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus, such as one that features only a single stage water rinse chamber.
- 11. Inventions (III) and (IV) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as two-layer composite, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 12. Inventions (III) and (V) are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, (III) is a sol-gel coated metal material, and (V) is a grit-blasted metal material coated with a sol-gel and an adhesive.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,303

Art Unit: 1712

13. Inventions (VI) and (III) are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case (2) the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus, such as one not featuring an oven, allowing the water portion of the sol-gel coating to evaporate at ambient conditions.

Page 6

- 14. Inventions (IV) and (V) are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, (IV) is a metal material coated with a sol-gel and an adhesive, and (V) is a grit-blasted metal material coated with a sol-gel and an adhesive.
- 15. Inventions (VI) and (IV) are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case (2) the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus, such as one not featuring an oven, allowing the solvent portion of the adhesive to evaporate at ambient conditions.
- 16. Inventions (VI) and (V) are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as

claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case (2) the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus, such as one not featuring an oven, allowing the solvent portion of the adhesive to evaporate at ambient conditions.

- 17. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 18. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 19. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Application/Control Number: 10/751,303 Page 8

Art Unit: 1712

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

20. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,303 Page 9

Art Unit: 1712

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Feely whose telephone number is 571-272-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Feely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

nellar

February 22, 2006

MICHAEL FEELY
PRIMARY EXAMINER